

From Digital Disconnection to Soulful Reconnection: Merging Ancient Practices with Modern Technology

A Case Study of House of Clues and the Ethics of Applied Philosophy

Eliyahu Attias¹

Abstract: This paper presents a novel model at the intersection of applied philosophy and immersive technology, arguing for a paradigm where modern digital tools are designed to serve fundamental human needs for connection and meaning. Through an in-depth case study of the "House of Clues" initiative, we demonstrate a practical framework for leveraging Virtual Reality (VR) to facilitate profound communal and introspective experiences. This model transcends conventional uses of technology as distraction, repositioning it as a conduit for authentic encounter, healing, and philosophical inquiry. Drawing on the emergent fields of SpiritTech and Cyberdelics, this research contributes to the discourse on philosophical practice by showcasing how technology can be harnessed to bridge the gap between individual consciousness and collective wisdom, effectively transforming digital platforms from barriers into bridges of human.

Key-words: philosophical practice; VR meditation; SpiritTech; community ethics; non-verbal communications; immersive healings; cyberdelics;

¹House of Clues, Tel Aviv, Israel, Email: e@houseofclues.world

Introduction

"Beyond right and wrong, there is a VR 360° Dome, we will meet there."

This statement encapsulates the core thesis of this paper: in an era dominated by digital interfaces that often foster isolation amidst hyper-connectivity, a deliberate and ethical (re)design of technological engagement is urgently needed. Since the dawn of history, humanity has invented technologies to realize its deepest desires—fire for control, language for connection, writing for memory (Ong 1982). In every era, people have sought new tools to transcend the boundaries of their reality.

Our generation, however, is witnessing an accelerated shift in this relationship. Technology has evolved from being an extension of human faculties (McLuhan 1964) into a pervasive environment a world we inhabit, which shapes our perceptions, relationships, and our very sense of self. This shift creates the central paradox of our time: endless potential for connection paired with a palpable experience of deep, silent disconnection. The International Conference on Philosophical Practice (ICPP 2025) frames its agenda around the ethics of fellowship, love and healing, aesthetics of community, and existential-phenomenological aspects. These are not merely abstract theoretical concepts; they demand practical realization. This article responds to that call by presenting "House of Clues" as a vital bridge between these values and their tangible actualization.

Literature Review: Philosophical and Technological Foundations

The Human-Technology Relationship

The evolution of human-technology relations has been extensively theorized. Heidegger (1977) conceptualized technology as a "mode of revealing," while McLuhan (1964) famously argued that media are "extensions of man." Contemporary philosophers of technology examine how digital technologies shape human cognition and social relations (Verbeek 2005). Ihde (1990) developed a post-phenomenological framework, identifying various ways technologies mediate human experience and perception. The current digital landscape represents what Floridi (2014) calls the "fourth revolution," where we are increasingly understood as informational organisms (inforgs) in an informational

environment (infosphere), raising fundamental questions about human identity and connection.

Contemplative Traditions and Modern Applications

Ancient contemplative traditions across cultures developed sophisticated "technologies of consciousness"—practices for cultivating awareness, compassion, and wisdom (Hadot 1995). Contemporary secular applications of these practices show significant benefits for mental health and well-being (Kabat-Zinn 2003). The integration of contemplative practices with modern technology represents a new frontier. Research demonstrates the efficacy of technology-assisted mindfulness, with VR showing particular promise for creating immersive contemplative experiences (Seabrook et al. 2020).

SpiritTech and Cyberdelics: Emerging Frameworks

The term "SpiritTech" (Wildman and Stockly 2021) describes the intentional use of technology—from neurofeedback to immersive VR—to enhance, trigger, or measure states of consciousness traditionally associated with spiritual or deep philosophical practice. Parallel to this is the "Cyberdelic" movement (Glowacki, Wonnacott, and Freire 2022), which aims to design technologies from the ground up to cultivate human values often eroded by commercialized digital culture: authenticity, awe, empathy, and profound presence. These frameworks provide the essential theoretical grounding for understanding initiatives like House of Clues.

Methodology: The House of Clues Model

The House of Clues model operationalizes these theoretical insights into a structured practice. Its methodology is built on four interdependent pillars:

The Integrated Physical-Digital Environment

Participants recline within a VR 360° dome, a shared physical space designed to eliminate everyday distractions. This sanctuary is saturated with immersive, curated soundscapes and visual fields, designed according to principles of neuroaesthetics (Chatterjee and Vartanian 2014) to guide attention inward and lower cognitive defenses.

The Structured Community Container

The technology is embedded within a facilitated community framework. Sessions begin and end with sharing circles grounded in

principles of deep listening and mutual support (Baldwin 1998; Mindell 1992), providing the ethical and relational container that gives the individual experience its communal meaning.

The Primacy of Non-Verbal Communication

The experience facilitates a deliberate move into meditative, pre-verbal states of awareness, reducing reliance on linguistic processing and fostering somatic awareness and intuitive insight, aligning with Gendlin's (1978) focusing technique.

The Process-Oriented Journey

The model is rigorously non-coercive and non-directive, honoring each participant's unique pace and threshold for vulnerability, drawing on humanistic principles (Rogers 1951) and process-oriented psychology (Mindell 1992).

Methodological Note: The analysis in this study is based on the author's interpretative-phenomenological analysis as the primary facilitator, derived from accumulated experience in guiding several dozen House of Clues sessions. Participants represented a diverse group (ages 25-65) with a wide range of prior experience, from complete novices to seasoned practitioners. This methodological approach is grounded in hermeneutic phenomenology and reflective practice, where the facilitator's embodied understanding is considered a valid source of insight into structures of shared experience.

Findings: Actualizing Philosophical Values

Analysis of the sessions reveals a consistent spectrum of transformative outcomes, categorized into three primary modes of experience that embody the conference's core themes:

Somatic-Emotional Unwinding

Most participants reported a profound experience of relaxation, release of physical and emotional tension, and lasting mental quietude. This aligns with the conference theme of love and healing, facilitated by the multi-sensory environment acting as a catalyst for psychological safety and receptivity to comfort.

Somatic Remembering

A significant number of participants experienced a reconnection with parts of themselves that felt distant or fragmented.

This was not a cognitive insight but a direct, bodily-felt experience of wholeness and presence, often described as "coming home" to oneself. This actualizes the existential-phenomenological aspect, honoring the uniqueness of each individual's experience.

Intuitive Insight

A smaller but consistent group reported the emergence of clear, non-linear insights pertaining to personal challenges. These insights arose spontaneously from the integrated experience, feeling like a "deep knowing." This fosters authentic creativity, a key sub-theme of the conference. The shared circle following the experience facilitated a quality of fellowship that transcended conventional social interaction, creating a powerful bond of mutual understanding and actualizing an ethics of fellowship based on shared presence rather than mere exchange. The structured ritual of entering the Dome functioned as an aesthetic practice of community formation—a "living prayer" of mutual recognition, fulfilling the aesthetics of community.

Discussion:

From Technological Alienation to Ethical Reconnection

The House of Clues case study provides evidence that technology's impact is not predetermined but a function of intentional design and philosophical grounding. The model's unique power lies not in its individual components but in the structured sequence and synergy between them:

1. *Sensory Deprivation*: The initial meditation stage (eyes closed, body scan) intentionally creates a state of sensory reduction, internalization, and gathering in.

2. *Controlled Multi-Sensory Overload*: The VR stage utilizes this state of deprivation not to overwhelm, but to create heightened receptivity and intense, immersive engagement with curated stimuli.

3. *Community Integration*: The sharing circle grounds, frames, and interprets the multi-sensory experience back into language, relationship, and communal meaning.

The transformative potential is catalyzed by the transition itself—the deliberate movement from one pole of experience to its opposite. This demonstrates that technology (VR) need not replace ancient practice but

can serve to amplify and actualize its latent potential. This work argues that the future of philosophical practice must engage proactively with technology, not just to critique digital disconnection but to actively design and implement digital re-connection. This represents a form of what Feenberg (1999) calls democratic rationalization—the conscious reshaping of technological systems to serve human values.

Conclusion and Future Directions

House of Clues stands as a potent exemplar of how ancient wisdom and modern technology can be merged in service of deeper human needs. Its model affirms that technology, when applied with philosophical intention, ethical care, and communal context, can transcend its role as a tool of efficiency to become a living bridge for meaning, healing, and authentic community. This research contributes to the emerging fields of SpiritTech and Cyberdelics by providing a concrete case study of how these frameworks can be operationalized. It also offers valuable insights for philosophers, designers, therapists, and educators interested in the ethical application of technology for human flourishing.

Future research directions should include empirical studies of the model's effectiveness using standardized measures, comparative analysis with other digital wellness approaches, longitudinal studies on lasting impact, and the development of more robust theoretical frameworks for understanding technology-mediated contemplative experiences. By doing so, we can continue to explore how the invisible ripple of one authentic, technologically-mediated act, amplified by community resonance, can indeed become a collective force for personal and social transformation.

References

- Baldwin, Christina. 1998. *Calling the Circle: The First and Future Culture*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Chatterjee, Anjan, and Oshin Vartanian. 2014. "Neuroaesthetics." *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 18 (7): 370–375.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.03.003>

- Cirucci, Angela M., and Christopher Vito. 2022. "Normative Interfaces: Affordances, Gender, and Race in Social Media." *Social Media + Society* 8 (2). doi.org/10.1177/20563051221090431
- Costanza-Chock, Sasha. 2020. *Design Justice: Community-Led Practices to Build the Worlds We Need*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Feenberg, Andrew. 1999. *Questioning Technology*. London: Routledge.
- Floridi, Luciano. 2014. *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gendlin, Eugene T. 1978. *Focusing*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Gergen, Kenneth J. 2009. *Relational Being: Beyond Self and Community*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Glowacki, David R., Mark D. Wonnacott, and Rafael Freire. 2022. "Cyberdelics and Mixed Reality Performance: Audience as Co-Creator." *Frontiers in Virtual Reality* 3: 856455. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2022.856455>
- Hadot, Pierre. 1995. *Philosophy as a Way of Life*. Edited by Arnold I. Davidson. Translated by Michael Chase. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Heidegger, Martin. 1977. *The Question Concerning Technology and Other Essays*. Translated by William Lovitt. New York: Garland Publishing.
- Ihde, Don. 1990. *Technology and the Lifeworld: From Garden to Earth*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Kabat-Zinn, Jon. 2003. "Mindfulness-Based Interventions in Context: Past, Present, and Future." *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* 10 (2): 144–156. doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bpg016
- McLuhan, Marshall. 1964. *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mindell, Arnold. 1992. *The Leader as Martial Artist: An Introduction to Deep Democracy*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco.
- Nussbaum, Martha C. 2010. *Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Ong, Walter J. 1982. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. London: Methuen.
- Rogers, Carl. 1951. *Client-Centered Therapy: Its Current Practice, Implications, and Theory*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Seabrook, Elizabeth, Reuben Kelly, Felicity Foley, Stephen Theiler, Neil Thomas, Greg Wadley, and Maja Nedeljkovic. 2020.

"Understanding How Virtual Reality Can Support Mindfulness Practice: Mixed Methods Study." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22 (3): e16106. doi.org/10.2196/16106

Turkle, Sherry. 2017. *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. 3rd ed. New York: Basic Books.

Verbeek, Peter-Paul. 2005. *What Things Do: Philosophical Reflections on Technology, Agency, and Design*. Translated by Robert P. Crease. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.

Wildman, Wesley J., and Kate J. Stockly. 2021. *Spirit Tech: The Brave New World of Consciousness Hacking and Enlightenment Engineering*. New York: New York University Press.