

Bridging empathy and altruism: An academic exploration of Gilligan's philosophy and the science of compassion

Ana Bălan¹

Abstract: The paper examines the convergence of feminist philosophy, in particular Carol Gilligan's ethics of care, and the interdisciplinary field of compassion science. Gilligan's work challenges traditional moral frameworks dominated by justice and autonomy, advocating for an ethics grounded in relationally, empathy, and care. Meanwhile, compassion science explores the cognitive, emotional, and social underpinnings of compassionate responses, offering empirical evidence that complements philosophical theories. By integrating these perspectives, the article explores their contributions to moral philosophy, social justice, and psychological well-being. Topics include the critique of patriarchal structures, compassion's role in altruism and fairness, and strategies for fostering self-compassion and societal care. These insights highlight the transformative potential of compassion and care in shaping more just and empathetic societies.

Key-words: feminist philosophy; ethics of care, moral philosophy; societal care; compassion; empathy; altruism;

¹West University of Timisoara, Romania, E-mail: ana.balan81@e-uvr.ro

Introduction

The ethics of care and the science of compassion have become indispensable tools for investigating moral principles, strengthening human connections, and promoting social justice. In her seminal work, *In a Different Voice* (1982), Carol Gilligan presented a feminist critique that challenged the dominance of justice-based ethics and emphasized care as a fundamental moral principle. Gilligan's ethics of care represents a significant shift in moral philosophy, emphasizing the value of relationally, empathy, and the interconnectedness of individuals.

Compassion science, an interdisciplinary field with roots in psychology, neuroscience, and social sciences, provides empirical examination of the mechanisms and effects of compassion, thus complementing the aforementioned philosophical foundation. This field of study examines the ways in which cognitive, emotional, and biological factors influence compassionate behavior, offering evidence-based insights into its role in individual and collective well-being.

By integrating the ethical principles of care and compassion with the scientific study of compassion, this article presents a comprehensive framework for addressing contemporary ethical challenges. It explores how care and compassion can inform moral reasoning, foster social equity, and enhance personal and societal well-being. In doing so, it highlights their relevance to fields such as education, healthcare, and public policy.

Gilligan's Ethics of Care: A Philosophical Revolution

Challenging Traditional Paradigms

Carol Gilligan's ethics of care is a truly transformative critique of traditional moral theories that have been dominated by justice and autonomy for too long! Lawrence Kohlberg's six-stage model of moral development, based on studies of male subjects, was a groundbreaking contribution to the field. It placed abstract principles at the forefront, opening up new avenues for understanding moral development. Gilligan's critique revealed the gender bias inherent in these frameworks, advocating for an alternative moral voice that values care, empathy, and interdependence. This was a truly groundbreaking moment in moral philosophy!

Gilligan argued that women's moral reasoning often focuses on relational care and the well-being of others, challenging the notion that justice-based ethics are universally applicable. Her framework redefines morality as a relational endeavor, emphasizing the ethical significance of nurturing connections and addressing the needs of others. This is an exciting new direction in moral philosophy! Beyond Gender: A Broader Ethical Framework

While Gilligan's initial work highlighted gendered differences in moral reasoning, her later contributions extend the ethics of care to broader social and political contexts. In *Joining the Resistance* (2011), Gilligan links care ethics to social activism, advocating for collective efforts to dismantle patriarchal systems and promote equality. This intersection of moral philosophy and political action underscores the transformative potential of care as a tool for social change.

The ethics of care also critiques the individualism prevalent in traditional moral theories. By emphasizing relationally, it aligns with communitarian ethics and feminist critiques of neoliberalism, which prioritize collective well-being over self-interest. This broader application positions care ethics as a progressive moral theory relevant to diverse contexts, from family dynamics to global social justice movements.

The Science of Compassion: Empirical Foundations

Compassion as a Multidimensional Phenomenon

The concept of compassion encompasses a range of dimensions, including cognitive, emotional, and behavioral aspects. It is distinguished from empathy by its motivational component, which involves a desire to alleviate suffering. In their 2010 study, Goetz and colleagues define compassion as "the feeling that arises when one becomes aware of another's suffering and that motivates a desire to help." This multidimensional construct encompasses a range of processes, including the recognition of suffering, emotional resonance, moral evaluation, and prosocial action. The field of compassion science employs empirical methodologies to investigate these processes, elucidating the ways in which compassion is shaped by neural mechanisms, social contexts, and individual experiences. For instance, neuroscientific studies have demonstrated that the experience of compassion engages brain regions

associated with social bonding and caregiving, including the insula and anterior cingulate cortex. These findings underscore the biological underpinnings of compassion as a universal human capacity.

Psychological Insights and Applications

Furthermore, compassion has significant implications for psychological well-being. The practice of loving-kindness meditation (LKM) has been demonstrated to enhance emotional resilience, reduce stress, and foster prosocial behaviors. The research indicates that the cultivation of compassion improves interpersonal relationships and creates supportive social environments, which aligns with Gilligan's emphasis on relational ethics.

Furthermore, compassion science also examines the barriers to compassionate behavior, such as cognitive biases and situational constraints. For example, studies on the bystander effect reveal how social dynamics can inhibit prosocial actions, which underscores the need for interventions that promote compassionate responses.

The intersection of ethics of care and compassion science with the issue of systemic inequalities

The intersection of ethics of care and compassion science with the issue of systemic inequalities

The emphasis on addressing systemic inequalities is a key feature of both Gilligan's ethics of care and compassion science and the ethics of care and compassion science more generally. The critique of patriarchal structures that perpetuate social injustices is a central tenet of care ethics. Furthermore, care ethics advocates for the implementation of inclusive frameworks that prioritize the voices of marginalized groups. Similarly, compassion science emphasizes the importance of ally ship and social responsibility as mechanisms for fostering equity and justice.

For example, research on ally ship demonstrates the importance of compassion in supporting marginalized communities. Karnaze et al. (2023) demonstrate that compassion-based interventions can reduce biases and promote anti-racist behaviors, thereby illustrating the practical implications of integrating care and compassion into social justice efforts.

Fostering Well-Being through Self-Compassion

The concept of self-compassion, as postulated by Neff (2003), encompasses the practice of treating oneself with kindness, acknowledging shared humanity, and maintaining mindfulness in the presence of adversity. Empirical studies have demonstrated a correlation between self-compassion and enhanced psychological well-being, reduced anxiety, and greater resilience. These findings are in alignment with Gilligan's ethics of care, emphasizing the significance of self-care as a foundation for relational and societal care.

Furthermore, self-compassion challenges cultural norms that equate self-worth with achievement, offering a more stable and inclusive basis for well-being. The fostering of a compassionate relationship with oneself enables individuals to extend care and empathy to others, thereby enhancing social cohesion and creating a ripple effect.

Cultivating Compassion in Society

Strategies for enhancing compassion include mindfulness-based practices, empathy training, and policy interventions. For example, research has demonstrated that loving-kindness meditation can enhance empathy and mitigate interpersonal conflict, thereby fostering more compassionate communities. Similarly, educational programs that integrate compassion training can foster emotional intelligence and social responsibility among students.

The ethics of care provide a moral framework for addressing systemic challenges in healthcare, education, and environmental sustainability. By prioritizing relational values and shared responsibilities, care ethics advocates for the implementation of policies that promote collective well-being. The field of compassion science lends support to these endeavors through the provision of evidence-based interventions that serve to enhance prosocial behaviors and mitigate social harm.

For instance, the integration of care ethics into healthcare policy can facilitate the resolution of disparities in access and quality of care, thereby ensuring that marginalized populations receive equitable treatment. Similarly, the implementation of compassion science in educational contexts can facilitate the creation of supportive environments that foster students' emotional and cognitive development.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the integration of Carol Gilligan's ethics of care with the empirical insights of compassion science provides a comprehensive framework for reimagining morality in the contemporary world. By establishing a connection between philosophical enquiry and scientific research, these perspectives shed light on the capacity of care and compassion to drive transformative change, particularly in the realms of equity, justice, and well-being.

In the future, research will further examine the intersections of care ethics and compassion science within feminist contexts, focusing on their potential to address discrimination and promote equality.

Feminist frameworks emphasize the need to challenge systemic biases and disrupt structures of oppression that perpetuate discrimination based on gender, race, class, and other intersecting identities. By integrating compassion and care as central ethical principles, these studies can inform strategies to foster inclusivity, empower marginalized groups, and create pathways for genuine equity in society.

References

- Barnard, L. K., & Curry, J. F. (2011). Self-compassion: Conceptualizations, correlates, & interventions. *Review of General Psychology*, 15(4), 289–303. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025754>
- Batson, C. D., Ahmad, N., Lishner, D. A., & Tsang, J.-A. (2009). Empathy and altruism. In S. Lopez & C. Snyder (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology* (pp. 417–426). Oxford University Press.
- Breines, J. G., & Chen, S. (2012). Self-compassion increases self-improvement motivation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(9), 1133–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212445599>
- Condon, P., & De Steno, D. (2017). Compassion for one reduces punishment for another. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 69, 58–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2016.10.003>

- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Harvard University Press.
- Gilligan, C., & Richards, D. A. J. (2009). *The Deepening Darkness: Patriarchy, Resistance, and Democracy's Future*. Harvard University Press.
- Gilligan, C. (2011). *Joining the Resistance*. Polity Press.
- Goetz, J. L., Keltner, D., & Simon-Thomas, E. (2010). Compassion: An evolutionary analysis and empirical review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(3), 351–374. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018807>
- Kelly, A. C., Zuroff, D. C., Foa, C. L., & Gilbert, P. (2009). Compassionate mind training for people with high self-criticism: Overview and pilot study of a group therapy approach. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 16(1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.583>
- Neff, K. D. (2003). Self-compassion: An alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. *Self and Identity*, 2(2), 85–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309032>
- Neff, K. D., & Germer, C. K. (2017). Self-compassion and psychological well-being. In M. Robinson, E. Watkins, & E. Harmon-Jones (Eds.), *Handbook of Cognition and Emotion* (pp. 805–820). Guilford Press.
- Salzberg, S. (1997). *Lovingkindness: The Revolutionary Art of Happiness*. Shambhala Publications.
- Seppälä, E. M., Simon-Thomas, E., Brown, S. L., & Worline, M. C. (Eds.). (2017). *The Oxford Handbook of Compassion Science*. Oxford University Press.
- Zessin, U., Dickhäuser, O., & Garbade, S. (2015). The relationship between self-compassion and well-being: A meta-analysis. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 7(3), 340–364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aphw.12051>